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# CIF Sector Recommendation Report (Spring 2013) 

Sector: Technology

Review Period: February $28^{\text {st }}-$ March $13^{\text {th }}$

## Section (A) Sector Performance Review

Copy/paste "Sector Review Spreadsheet" (the entire spreadsheet) here

| TEC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ticker | Current | Beg. | Stop-loss | Target | \% Cap | \# Shares | Current | vs. Sector | vs. S\&P 500 |
|  |  | Price | Price | Price | Price | Gain |  | Value |  |  |
| S\&P 500 | ${ }^{\wedge}$ GSPC | 1554.52 | 1515.99 |  |  | 2.54\% |  |  |  |  |
| Sector ETF | XLK | \$30.23 | \$29.69 |  |  | 1.82\% | 6,690 | \$202,238.70 |  | -0.72\% |
| Current Holdings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | QCOM ${ }^{\text { }}$ | \$66.75 | \$65.64 | \$57.50 | \$75.00 | 1.69\% | 620 | \$41,385.00 | -0.13\% | -0.85\% |
|  | EMC | \$24.90 | \$23.28 | \$22.35 | \$28.58 | 6.96\% | 1420 | \$35,358.00 | 5.14\% | 4.42\% |
|  | V | 159.37 | 159.87 | \$136.85 | 185.15 | -0.31\% | 290 | \$46,217.30 | -2.13\% | -2.85\% |
|  | csco | 21.58 | 20.89 | 18.5 | 24 | 3.30\% | 1940 | \$41,865.20 | 1.48\% | 0.76\% |

As one can see from the graph presented above and the chart presented below the XLK performed fairly similar to the S\&P500 for the two week time period consisting of February $28^{\text {th }}$-March $13^{\text {th }}$. That being said the market as a whole did see $0.7 \%$ more growth for the period observed which was somewhat surprising to me because the XLK is much more volatile than the market implying larger price changes. I would have speculated that the XLK would have experienced more growth or regression in response to the recent cyber-attacks on American business. Technology began to see growth after it had dropped in the last period examined but did not see significant growth because these attacks still had not been fixed which increased uneasiness among these technology companies as open market vulnerability became an increasing reality. According to Michael Chertoff, the former secretary of Homeland Security companies needs to use a strategy which he deemed "active defense". This is the idea that states companies should not just erect walls to prevent cyber-attacks, but instead make it more difficult for hackers to climb into your systems and pursue aggressively those who do. All of our
holdings except for Visa did see slight growth in the time period which did show that there is progress being made to resolve these security breaches. Visa did not improve as they are a company that relies heavily on the security that they can provide their customers in terms of credit card and debit card security. Once the storm settles I believe Visa will rebound and outperform their competition. The performance of the XLK leans heavily on the economy as a whole (GDP) which improved by $0.1 \%$ in quarter 4 of 2012. This leads me to believe that the technology sector will outperform the market for the year of 2013 once these cyber-attacks have been resolved.


An industry that is on the rise within the technology sector is the tablet industry. In recent time companies such as Apple have been releasing alternative smaller models which in turn results in lower prices for the product. This has helped boost the industry because dollar votes are critical in the retail industry where consumers are always looking for affordable Market Research Firm International Data Corporation stated that they expect the worldwide tablet market to reach 190.9 million units in 2013, which is a significant increase compared to its previous forecast of 172.4 million units. Between 2013 and 2016, the tablet industry is expected to grow at a rate equal to $11 \%$ annually. Tablet shipments are forecast to be upwards of 350 million units by the end of 2017, due to the popularity of smaller tablets.
(Wallstcheatsheet.com)

Our first holding Qualcomm experienced fairly constant slight growth for the time period. The company did see a large price spike on March $4^{\text {th }}$ but two days later on March $6^{\text {th }}$
they saw an equal price decrease. The increase was due to the announcement that they would launch a strategic collaboration with WebMD to form mHealth. Then two days later the company announced an increase in their quarterly dividend by $40 \%$ which caused the stock to then fall. EMC Corp saw their stock price increase by two dollars for the two week period examined. It was a positive sign to see the stock performing well because prior to this time the stock had lost value since we had purchased it. Many fund managers do believe that the stock is undervalued and is now bouncing back from its sub-par guidance for VMware. Visa saw a slight decrease in their price but not to a significant degree. That being said we are still in the green for Visa and their new mobile phone system shows signs of significant growth in the future. In regards to Cisco the newest holding for the XLK, they have seen positive growth which is a positive sign because of their underperformance in 2012.

- GAINERS +
- Cree, Inc. (CREE): $+19 \%$
- Unveiled their new $\$ 10$ LED light bulb which will make them a low cost leader which caused unusually high volume.
- Affymetrix Inc. (AFFX): +14\%
- Beat analyst estimates by a significant margin and also signed a partnership with DNA Link which resulted in an upgrade for the stock.
- Hewlett Packard (HPQ): +8\%
- Rode the momentum of beating analyst estimations and the unveiling of their new media player.
- LOSERS -
- AVG Technologies (AVG): -24.5\%
- They received many downgrades after their CEO J.R. Smith stepped down.
- Maxwell Technologies (MXWL): -17\%
- On March $9^{\text {th }}$ Faruqi \& Faruqi LLP which is a national law firm began an investigation on MXWL concerning possible breaches of fiduciary duty and other violations of law related to their financial statements.
- Apple Inc. (AAPL): -4\%
- Apple's downward spiral continued leaving them wondering how much farther their share price will fall.

Highlight the largest two holdings from the sector and note any headline news on the companies

When examining the XLK it is important to look at its largest holding Apple Inc. because of the large influence they have on the ETF. After hitting its $\$ 705$ peak in the fall of 2012, Apple hit a fresh 52 -week low on March $1^{\text {st }}$. The stock has now fallen $39 \%$ from its peak to the $\$ 430$ range. According to finance.yahoo.com a $20 \%$ price decline is the definition of a bear market. The drop to the bottom was a result of the recent lawsuit David Einhorn filed against the company for hoarding cash on the balance sheet. Mr. Einhorn wants Apple to offer preferred stock to its shareholders for $\$ 50$. This would offer investors high yields as well as help to improve the state of their common stock. Also I believe this dramatic decline could be an indicator for what the future holds for the rest of the stock market.

The second largest holding within the technology sector is International Business Machines (IBM). Over the time period examined they saw their share price increase by approximately $\$ 10$ even though they saw a decrease in volume. The company has positioned itself in the front of the pack for the big data market. According to themotleyfool.com they have beaten Teradata and Oracle to the major data storage marketplace. IBM's data storage unit is growing at a rate of $60 \%$ a year which shows where the company is focusing its assets. The company believes that they will unlock $\$ 16$ billion in revenue by 2015 in this big data market.

I expect that technology sector to perform very well in the near future and outperform the market as a whole. Very few companies have been experiencing consistent decreases and most companies have relatively low valuation ratios showing the potential for future growth. Because this sector is affected so largely by the domestic GDP I am watching very intently on the consequences of the sequester budget cuts. If these cuts decrease the consumer sentiment it will affect the sales volume of these companies which will drive down their share prices. Because the XLK experienced a $2 \%$ increase in my two week period I believe that these cuts impact will not be felt to significant degree which will continue the growth of technology companies.

## Section (B) Sector Holding Updates

## Company \#1: Qualcomm (QCOM)

## Date Recommended: 12-6-12

Date Re-evaluated: 3-20-13

## Company Update

Over the two weeks Qualcomm saw relatively no changes as their price changed from $\$ 65.64$ to $\$ 66.75$. Qualcomm's future looks bright as they surpassed estimates for the first quarter of 2013 which caused them to raise their guidance for the fiscal year 2013. The company is dominating the LTE market and controls $86 \%$ of the market currently. This stranglehold looks like it will not end as they recently released their next generation of processor which they call Snapdragon. The company already has secured contracts to be in 50 different products already. The company is excited about the release of the Samsung Galaxy S4 which will feature these chips. This phone is expected to become the most popular on the market which would be huge for Qualcomm.

Relative Performance


Qualcomm saw close to $2 \%$ growth for the two weeks which was less significant than both the XLK and the market as a whole. The company did start fast and saw a large price spike on March $4^{\text {th }}$ but two days later on March $6^{\text {th }}$ they saw an equal price decrease. The increase was due to the announcement that they would launch a strategic collaboration with WebMD to form mHealth. Then
two days later the company announced an increase in their quarterly dividend by $40 \%$ which caused the stock to then fall. Investors seemed to be reluctant within the technology sector in the wake of the cyber-attacks which caused the market to grow faster than QCOM as well as the XLK.

## Price Charts

## 3 Month



1 Year


## Valuations Analysis

Original Analysis

| Ratio Analysis | Company | Industry | Sector |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| P/E (TTM) | 20.69 | 19.22 | 16.47 |
| P/S (TTM) | 5.65 | 1.42 | 1.21 |
| P/B (MRQ) | 3.22 | 1.75 | 1.50 |
| P/CF (TTM) | 17.047 | 23.04 | 16.09 |

## Re-evaluation Analysis

| P/E Ratio (TTM) | 19.48 | 24.46 | 23.61 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Price to Sales (TTM) | 5.46 | 1.30 | 3.16 |
| Price to Book (MRQ) | 3.16 | 1.62 | 3.95 |
| Price to Tangible Book (MRQ) | 3.91 | 2.37 | 4.90 |
| Price to Cash Flow (TTM) | 16.62 | 20.09 | 15.26 |

Qualcomm has seen all of its valuation ratios decrease since the time that it was first examined. This means that the company is now more undervalued than it was when it was first recommended. That being said the valuations are not very attractive for Qualcomm because they still suggest that they are more overvalued than their industry and sector.

## Historical Surprises

## Original Analysis

| Estimates va Actual | Eatimate | Actual | Difference | Surprise \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SALES (in millions) |  |  |  |  |
| Quarter Ending Sep-12 | 4.659.28 | 4.871.00 | 211.72 | 4.54 |
| Quarter Ending Jun-12 | 4.676.47 | 4.626.00 | 50.47 | $-1.08$ |
| Quarter Ending Mar-12 | 4,842.37 | 4.943.00 | 100.63 | 2.08 |
| Ouarter Ending Dee-11 | 4,558.30 | 4,681.00 | 124.70 | 2.74 |
| Quarter Ending Sep-11 | 3,997.10 | 4,117.00 | 119.90 | 3.00 |
| Earnings (per share) |  |  |  |  |
| Quarter Ending Sep-12 | 0.82 | 0.89 | 0.07 | 9.10 |
| Quarter Ending Jun-12 | 0.86 | 0.85 | 0.01 | -1.23 |
| Quarter Ending Mar-12 | 0.85 | 1.01 | 0.05 | 6.67 |
| Quarter Ending Deo-11 | 0.80 | 0.97 | 0.07 | 7.43 |
| Quarter Ending Sep-11 | 0.78 | 0.80 | 0.02 | 2.45 |

## Re-evaluation Analysis

SALES (in millions)

| Quarter Ending Dec-12 | $5,900.40$ | $6,018.00$ | 117.60 | 1.99 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quarter Ending Sep-12 | $4,659.28$ | $4,871.00$ | 211.72 | 4.54 |
| Quarter Ending Jun-12 | $4,676.47$ | $4,626.00$ | 50.47 | 1.08 |
| Quarter Ending Mar-12 | $4,842.37$ | $4,943.00$ | 100.63 | 2.08 |
| Quarter Ending Dec-11 | $4,556.30$ | $4,681.00$ | 124.70 | 2.74 |
| Earnings (per share) |  |  |  |  |
| Quarter Ending Dec-12 | 1.13 | 1.26 | 0.13 | 11.97 |
| Quarter Ending Sep-12 | 0.82 | 0.89 | 0.07 | 9.10 |


| Quarter Ending Jun-12 | 0.86 | 0.85 | 0.01 | 1.23 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quarter Ending Mar-12 | 0.96 | 1.01 | 0.05 | 5.67 |
| Quarter Ending Dec-11 | 0.90 | 0.97 | 0.07 | 7.43 |

There have been no new earnings reports since the last time the stock was evaluated by Taylor Woodruff in his sector report. That being said the report is fairly new and is the end of the fourth fiscal quarter of the year 2012. They positively surprised in the revenue department by approximately $2 \%$ and for earnings they had a positive surprise of approximately $12 \%$. This is actually the most significant surprise that the company has seen in the trailing 4 quarters which is a bullish indicator going forward.

## Consensus Estimates

## Original Analysis

|  | W of Entimaten | Msan | High | Low | $1 \text { Year }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SALES (in millionil |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quater Ending De-12 | 35 | 5.092.89 | 6.175 .70 | 5.721 .00 | 6.087 .42 |
| Quirter Ending Mar-13 | 33 | 5,800.83 | 2,25s.70 | 5,533.40 | 4,243.58 |
| Year Ending Sep-12 | 42 | 18.014.10 | 10.17000 | 14.000.60 | - |
| Tear Ending Sup-13 | 40 | 23,802.70 | 24,494.80 | 22,483.00 | 20,507 30 |
| Tear Ending Sep-14 | 30 | 25,430.80 | 27,74000 | 6,280.00 | 23,180.10 |
| Earninges (per sharel) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Duarter Eraing Dee-12 | 33 | 1.12 | 1.17 | 1.08 | 0.99 |
| Quarter Ending Mar-13 | 32 | 1,10 | 1.10 | 0.90 | 0.97 |
| Year Ending Sep-12 | 39 | 3.85 | 3.91 | 3.80 | - |
| Year Ending Sep-13 | 37 | 4.30 | 4.52 | 4.18 | 4.00 |
| Year Ending 5ep-14 | 20 | 4.74 | 5.12 | 3.76 | 4.51 |
| LT Grumi Pate (\%) | 12 | 14.28 | 20.00 | 7.70 | 14.54 |

## Re-Evaluation Analysis

|  | \# of Estimates | Mean | High | Low | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \text { Year } \\ \text { Ago } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SALES (in millions) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quarter Ending Mar-13 | 34 | 6,072.19 | 6,340.40 | 5,928.00 | $5,200.21$ |
| Quarter Ending Jun-13 | 33 | 5,867.35 | 6,295.00 | 5,579.30 | 5,207.52 |
| Year Ending Sep-13 | 38 | 24,036.90 | 24,928.00 | 22,483.00 | 21,589.80 |
| Year Ending Sep-14 | 37 | 26,687.00 | 29,061.60 | 24,309.00 | 24,133.90 |
| Earnings (per share) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quarter Ending Mar-13 | 33 | 1.16 | 1.21 | 1.12 | 1.02 |
| Quarter Ending Jun-13 | 33 | 1.03 | 1.16 | 0.95 | 0.98 |
| Year Ending Sep-13 | 36 | 4.50 | 4.76 | 4.35 | 4.14 |
| Year Ending Sep-14 | 35 | 4.89 | 5.32 | 4.52 | 4.71 |
| LT Growth Rate (\%) | 7 | 14.81 | 20.00 | 8.00 | 14.86 |

Analyst estimates are trending upwards in both the short and long term. Analysts have increased their expectations in both sales and earnings for not only the first two quarters of fiscal 2013 but also the next two fiscal years. This is due to their recent positive surprise in the fourth quarter of 2012 showing bullish potential moving forward.

## Estimate Revision Analysis

## Original Analysis (12/6/12)

| Number Of Revisions: | Last Week |  | Last 4 Weeks |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Up | Down | Up | Down |
| Revenuae |  |  |  |  |
| Quarter Ending Deor-12 | 0 | 0 | 31 | $\bigcirc$ |
| Ouerter Ending Mar-13 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 |
| Year Ending Sep-12 | - | - | - | - |
| Yearr Ending Esop-13 | 0 | 1 | 39 | 0 |
| Yevar Ending Sep-14 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 0 |
| Earnings |  |  |  |  |
| Ouartar Enclinga Danc-12 | 0 | - | 20 | 0 |
| Ouarter Ending Mar-13 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 3 |
| Year Ending Sepp-12 | - | - | - | - |
| Yeor Ending Sep-13 | 1 | 0 | 36 | 0 |
| Year Enciing Esep-14 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 1 |

## Re-Evaluation Analysis

|  | Last Week |  | Last 4 Weeks |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number Of Revisions: | Up | Down | Up | Down |
| Revenue |  |  |  |  |
| Quarter Ending Mar-13 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Quarter Ending Jun-13 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Year Ending Sep-13 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Year Ending Sep-14 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Earnings |  |  |  |  |
| Quarter Ending Mar-13 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Quarter Ending Jun-13 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Year Ending Sep-13 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Year Ending Sep-14 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 |

In terms of the last four weeks QCOM is seeing far fewer up revisions but is still seeing no down revisions. That being said this week the stock is receiving one up revision in all time periods in terms of earnings and revenue except for the current quarter. It appears as though the stock was in a much larger stage of growth when first recommended compared to now.

## Analysts' Recommendations

## Original Analysis

| 1-s Linear Scale | Current | 1 Mamth | 2 Month | $3 \text { Momth }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) Bur | 17 | 17 | 18 | 17 |
| (2) OUTPERFOAM | 24 | 23 | 23 | 22 |
| (3) PMOLD | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| (4) UNOERPERFORM | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |
| (5) SELL | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| No Opinion | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Meam Pating | 1.85 | 1.87 | 1.83 | 1.84 |

[^0]
## Re-Evaluation Analysis

ANALYST RECOMMENDATIONS AND REVISIONS

| 1-5 Linear Scale | Current | 1 Month <br> Ago | 2 Month <br> Ago | Month <br> Ago |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| (1) BUY | 16 | 17 | 19 | 18 |
| (2) OUTPERFORM | 21 | 20 | 22 | 22 |
| (3) HOLD | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| (4) UNDERPERFORM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (5) SELL | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |

The analysts' recommendation and revisions have stayed fairly unchanged. They have the same amount of sell votes and only 1 fewer buy vote compared to the original evaluation. They also have three fewer votes for outperform, which shows yet again that the stock is not in as bullish of a position that it was when first purchased.

## Company \#2: EMC Corporation (EMC)

## Company Update

Over the two weeks examined EMC saw its stock price grow almost two dollars from $\$ 23.28$ to $\$ 24.90$. This was a positive sign for the company because they had been declining in the weeks prior to this primarily due to the cyber-attacks and a skeptical outlook on economic growth in the year 2013. A good strategic move the company made to boost its price was deciding to separate EMC from the cloud based service VMware which had been dragging the price down. They plan to separate them to form a web-based software business but do not currently plan on striving for an IPO. (wallstreetjournal.com)

## Relative Performance



As can be seen in the chart EMC has outperformed the market as well as the XLK. The company's decision to part ways with VMware has changed the sentiment of the stock which can be seen in its $8 \%$ increase in this short time period. This move allowed them to grow at a higher rate than most of its competition within the technology sector.

## Price Charts




## Valuations Analysis

| Original Analysis <br> Ratio Analysis | Company | Industry | Sector |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| P/E (TTM) | 20.12 | 21.32 | 23.05 |
| P/S (TTM) | 2.41 | 0.77 | 2.96 |
| P/B (MRQ) | 2.34 | 1.87 | 3.55 |
| P/CF (TTM) | 11.86 | 9.27 | 15.17 |

## Re-evaluation Analysis

| P/E Ratio (TTM) | 20.53 | 17.94 | 23.61 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Price to Sales (TTM) | 2.46 | 0.81 | 3.16 |
| Price to Book (MRQ) | 2.39 | 1.91 | 3.95 |
| Price to Tangible Book (MRQ) | 8.23 | 2.28 | 4.90 |
| Price to Cash Flow (TTM) | 11.95 | 9.55 | 15.26 |

All of EMC's valuation ratios have increased showing that the stock is more expensive now than when it was first recommended. The stock seems to be undervalued in respect to the sector as a whole but what I find troubling is that it is overvalued in all categories in respect to its direct competitors that compete in its industry.

## Historical Surprises

## Original Analysis

| Estimates vs Actual | Estimate | Actual | Difference | Surprise \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SALES (in millions) |  |  |  |  |
| Quarter Ending Dec-12 | 5.975 .57 | 6,029.96 | 54.39 | 0.91 |
| Quarter Ending Sep-12 | 5,462.19 | 5.278 .18 | 184.01 | $-3.37$ |
| Quarter Ending Jun-12 | 5,292.19 | 5,311.39 | 19.20 | 0.36 |
| Quarter Ending Mar-12 | 5,120.00 | 5,094.38 | 25.62 | $-0.50$ |
| Quarter Ending Dec-11 | 5,490.30 | 5,574.43 | 84.13 | 1.53 |
| Earnings (per share) |  |  |  |  |
| Quarter Ending Dec-12 | 0.52 | 0.54 | 0.02 | 3.21 |
| Quarter Ending Sep-12 | 0.42 | 0.40 | 0.02 | $-5.01$ |
| Quarter Ending Jun-12 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 1.09 |
| Quarter Ending Mar-12 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.01 | 1.96 |
| Quarter Ending Dec-11 | 0.46 | 0.49 | 0.03 | 6.27 |

## Re-evaluation Analysis

| Estimates vs Actual | Estimate | Actual | Difference | Surprise \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SALES (in millions) |  |  |  |  |
| Quarter Ending Deo-12 | $5,975.57$ | 6,029.98 | 54.39 | 0.91 |
| Quarter Ending Sep-12 | 5.482 .19 | $5,278.18$ | 184.01 | 3.37 |
| Quarter Ending Jun-12 | 5,292.19 | $5,311.39$ | 19.20 | 0.36 |
| Quarter Ending Mar-12 | $5,120.00$ | $5,094.38$ | 25.62 | 0.50 |
| Quarter Ending Deo-11 | $5,490.30$ | $5,574.43$ | 84.13 | 1.53 |
| Earnings (per share) |  |  |  |  |
| Quarter Ending Deo-12 | 0.52 | 0.54 | 0.02 | 3.21 |
| Quarter Ending Sep-12 | 0.42 | 0.40 | 0.02 | 5.01 |
| Quarter Ending Jun-12 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 1.09 |
| Quarter Ending Mar-12 | 0.38 | 0.37 | 0.01 | 1.96 |
| Quarter Ending Deo-11 | 0.46 | 0.49 | 0.03 | 6.27 |

Because there have been no new earnings reports released since we purchased EMC the estimates have remained unchanged.

## Consensus Estimates

|  | Current | 1 Week Ago | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \text { Month } \\ \text { Ago } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \text { Month } \\ \text { Ago } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { Year } \\ & \text { Ago } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SALES (in millions) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quarter Ending Mar-13 | 5,419.55 | 5.492 .96 | 5,51273 | 5,515.76 | 5.655 .83 |
| Quarter Ending Jun-13 | 5,663.35 | 5,729.38 | 5,750.39 | 5.752 .80 | 5,889.13 |
| Year Ending Dec-13 | 23,480.60 | 23,565.30 | 23,699.60 | 23,722.00 | 24.461.80 |
| Year Ending Dec-14 | 25,443.30 | 25,836.10 | 26,402.00 | 26,554.10 | 26.890.10 |
| Earnings (per share) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quarter Ending Mar-13 | 0.39 | 0.41 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.42 |
| Quarter Ending Jun-13 | 0.43 | 0.44 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.45 |
| Quarter Ending Dec-13 | 1.87 | 1.90 | 1.92 | 1.92 | 1.97 |
| Quarter Ending Dec-14 | 2.07 | 2.17 | 222 | 2.23 | 222 |

## Re-Evaluation Analysis

|  | Current | 1 Week <br> Ago | 1 Month <br> Ago | Month <br> Ago | 1 Year <br> Ago |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SALES (in millions) | $5,422.47$ | $5,421.26$ | $5,420.48$ | $5,493.15$ | $5,676.07$ |
| Quarter Ending Mar-13 | $5,665.56$ | $5,666.24$ | $5,665.09$ | $5,729.33$ | $5,904.87$ |
| Quarter Ending Jun-13 | $23,472.40$ | $23,474.30$ | $23,480.20$ | $23,590.00$ | $24,492.20$ |
| Year Ending Dec-13 | $25,441.10$ | $25,415.10$ | $25,446.90$ | $25,824.00$ | $27,014.90$ |
| Year Ending Dec-14 |  |  |  |  |  |

Earnings (per share)

| Quarter Ending Mar-13 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.41 | 0.42 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Quarter Ending Jun-13 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.45 |
| Quarter Ending Dec-13 | 1.86 | 1.86 | 1.86 | 1.90 | 1.97 |
| Quarter Ending Dec-14 | 2.07 | 2.07 | 2.07 | 2.17 | 2.23 |

While the consensus estimates have been revised upward for the upcoming two quarters they have been revised down for both the next two years in terms of revenues. The analysts seem to believe the company will perform better in the short run while their performance will decline in the long run. In terms of earnings the estimates remain fairly unchanged with no notable changes.

## Estimate Revision Analysis

Original Analysis

| Number Of Revisions: | Last Week |  | Last 4 Weeks |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Up | Down | Up | Down |
| Revenue |  |  |  |  |
| Quarter Ending Mar-13 | 3 | 30 | 2 | 30 |
| Quarter Ending Jun-13 | 4 | 29 | 2 | 30 |
| Year Ending Dec-13 | 15 | 22 | 7 | 30 |
| Year Ending Dec-14 | 4 | 11 | 2 | 11 |
| Earnings |  |  |  |  |
| Quarter Ending Mar-13 | 4 | 27 | 4 | 27 |
| Quarter Ending Jun-13 | 2 | 27 | 1 | 27 |
| Year Ending Dec-13 | 3 | 29 | 2 | 33 |
| Year Ending Dec-14 | 2 | 14 | 1 | 12 |

## Re-Evaluation Analysis

|  | Last Week | Last 4 Weeks |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number Of Revisions: | Up | Down | Up | Down |
| Revenue |  |  |  |  |
| Quarter Ending Mar-13 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Quarter Ending Jun-13 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 4 |
| Year Ending Dec-13 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 4 |
| Year Ending Dec-14 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Earnings | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Quarter Ending Mar-13 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Quarter Ending Jun-13 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Year Ending Dec-13 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |

When the stock was first recommended it had an incredibly higher amount of revisions both up and down for revenues and earnings. The majority of these revisions were down when the stock was recommended as compared to now where most of the revisions are up. I believe this shows that the stock has made it through a turbulent time and is poised to grow moving forward.

## Analysts' Recommendations

## Original Analysis

| 1-5 Linear Scale | Current | 1 Month Ago | 2 Month Ago | 3 Month Ago |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) BUY | 18 | 17 | 17 | 16 |
| (2) OUTPERFORM | 16 | 18 | 20 | 19 |
| (3) HOLD | 7 | 5 | 5 | 4 |
| (4) UNDERPERFORM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (5) SELL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| No Opinion | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mean Rating | 1.73 | 1.70 | 1.71 | 1.69 |

## Re-Evaluation Analysis

| 1-5 Linear Scale | Current | 1 Month Ago | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \text { Month } \\ \text { Ago } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \text { Month } \\ \text { Ago } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) BUY | 15 | 17 | 18 | 17 |
| (2) OUTPERFORM | 19 | 17 | 15 | 19 |
| (3) HOLD | 7 | 7 | 8 | 5 |
| (4) UNDERPERFORM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (5) SELL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| No Opinion | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mean Rating | 1.80 | 1.76 | 1.76 | 1.71 |

EMC's mean rating increased by 0.07 which shows how little analysts' opinion on the stock has changed. Three analysts changed their vote from buy to outperform which means
they are not as confident in the company as they once were but this move is not significant enough to raise concern with me.

## Company \#3: VISA (V)

## Company Update

Visa saw its stock price decrease by fifty cents for the two weeks observed which is not concerning considering its stock price which is slightly below $\$ 160$. Zacks.com stayed neutral on Visa for the time period even though they have experienced negative growth and have experienced problems in its payment system. The company is improving its product portfolio and has good operating leverage which will help it deal with increasing regulatory challenges. The stock has also received a downgrade recently in response to bearish outlook for the industry. The company does compete in a very competitive industry and faces increasing expenses which is giving me a bearish outlook on the stock.

Relative Performance


Visa has been largely underperforming in respect to the XLK and the market as a whole. It is troubling that in a time when most stocks are growing and the market is performing well that Visa is experiencing negative growth. Visa is a volatile stock that does experience larger price changes than other stocks which is a positive indicator that the stock will possibly rebound. The fact that Visa is such a dominant force in its industry and the massive growth it has seen in the last year leads me to believe that they will be able to solve their problems and grow in the future.

## Price Charts

## 3 month




1 Year


Valuations Analysis
Original Analysis

| Ratio Analysis | Company | Industry | Sector |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P/E (TMM) | 50.18 | 22.63 | 33.73 |
| P/S (TTM) | 12.06 | 3.31 | 7.75 |
| P/B (MRQ) | 27.74 | 0.44 | 1.54 |
| P/CF (TTM) | 50.77 | 19.35 | 16.72 |

## Re-evaluation Analysis

| P/E Ratio (TTM) | 72.50 | 24.99 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Price to Sales (TTM) | 11.88 | 11.56 | 5.53 |
| Price to Book (MRQ) | 3.80 | 0.54 | 1.48 |
| Price to Tangible Book (MRQ) | 28.28 | 1.01 | 3.53 |
| Price to Cash Flow (TTM) | 46.27 | 110.60 | 18.49 |

Visa's ratios have decreased for the most part showing that it is possibly more undervalued now than when we purchased it. The only ratio that increased was the P/E; its increase was severe by approximately $22 \%$ showing that investors are paying more for the earnings that the company is producing. It is troubling that such a crucial valuation has become so expensive but the overall downtrend keeps me confident in Visa.

## Historical Surprises

## Original Analysis

| Estimates ve Actual | Estimate | Actual | Differemoe | Surprise \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SALES (In millions) |  |  |  |  |
| Quarter Ending Sep-12 | 2,675.59 | 2,731.00 | 65.41 | 2.07 |
| Ouarter Encling Jun-12 | 2,521.88 | 2,585.00 | 43.12 | 1.71 |
| Quarter Encling Mar-12 | 2.477 .63 | 2.578.00 | 100.37 | 4.05 |
| Quarter Ending Deo-11 | 2,434.14 | 2,547.00 | 112.86 | 4.64 |
| Quarter Ending Sep-11 | 2.390.22 | 2.383.00 | 7.22 | -0.30 |
| Earnings (per share) |  |  |  |  |
| Ouarter Encling Sep-12 | 1.50 | 1.54 | 0.04 | 2.91 |
| Quarter Encling Jun-12 | 1.45 | 1.56 | 0.11 | 7.65 |
| Quarter Ending Mar-12 | 1.60 | 1.60 | 0.10 | 6.60 |
| Ouarter Encling Dee-11 | 1.45 | 1.49 | 0.04 | 2.85 |
| Quarter Encling Sep-11 | 1.25 | 1.27 | 0.02 | 1.88 |

## Re-evaluation Analysis

## SALES (in millions)

| Quarter Ending Dec-12 | $2,817.16$ | $2,846.00$ | 28.84 | 1.02 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Quarter Ending Sep-12 | $2,675.59$ | $2,731.00$ | 55.41 | 2.07 |


| Quarter Ending Jun-12 | $2,521.88$ | $2,565.00$ | 43.12 | 1.71 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quarter Ending Mar-12 | $2,477.63$ | $2,578.00$ | 100.37 | 4.05 |
| Quarter Ending Dec-11 | $2,434.14$ | $2,547.00$ | 112.86 | 4.64 |
| Earnings (per share) | 1.79 | 1.82 | 0.03 | 1.88 |
| Quarter Ending Dec-12 | 1.50 | 1.54 | 0.04 | 2.91 |
| Quarter Ending Sep-12 | 1.45 | 1.56 | 0.11 | 7.65 |
| Quarter Ending Jun-12 | 1.50 | 1.60 | 0.10 | 6.60 |
| Quarter Ending Mar-12 | 1.45 | 1.49 | 0.04 | 2.8 |

There has been an earnings report released since the stock was recommended and Visa was able to beat estimates in terms of both earnings and revenue. The margin was significant which was interesting considering the stock has not seen a jump in its share price. This could possibly be due to the bearish outlook on the industry that Visa competes.

## Consensus Estimates

Original Analysis
"Consensus Estimates Trend"

|  | Current | 1 Week Ago | 1 Month Ago | 2 Month Ago | 1 Year Ago |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SALES (in millions) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quarter Ending Mar-13 | 2,855.14 | 2,855.92 | 2,855.08 | 2,854.62 | 2,705.26 |
| Quarter Ending Jun-13 | 2,869.15 | 2,868.83 | 2,864.34 | 2,062.32 | 2,785.93 |
| Year Ending Sep-13 | 11,548.90 | 11.548 .90 | 11,537.80 | 11,547.60 | 11,186.80 |
| Year Ending Sep-14 | 12,855.90 | 12,865.90 | 12.844.30 | 12.839.00 | 12,315.70 |
| Earnings (per share) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quarter Ending Mar-13 | 1.81 | 1.81 | 1.80 | 1.80 | 1.69 |
| Quarter Ending Jun-13 | 1.80 | 1.80 | 1.80 | 1.80 | 1.68 |
| Quarter Ending Sep-13 | 7.28 | 7.27 | 7.25 | 7.25 | 6.81 |
| Quarter Ending Sep-14 | B.40 | 8. 41 | 8. 36 | 8. 36 | 7.92 |

## Re-Evaluation Analysis

| Quarter Ending Mar-13 | 30 | 2,854.29 | 2,920.30 | 2,772.28 | 2,729.31 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quarter Ending Jun-13 | 29 | 2,867.78 | 2,922.00 | 2,816.60 | 2,805.19 |
| Year Ending Sep-13 | 31 | 11,577.10 | 11,707.00 | 11,437.00 | 11,322.60 |
| Year Ending Sep-14 | 29 | 12,905.40 | 13,237.00 | 12,529.50 | 12,649.50 |
| Earnings (per share) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quarter Ending Mar-13 | 31 | 1.81 | 1.88 | 1.74 | 1.72 |
| Quarter Ending Jun-13 | 30 | 1.78 | 1.92 | 1.73 | 1.71 |
| Year Ending Sep-13 | 31 | 7.34 | 7.46 | 7.20 | 6.95 |
| Year Ending Sep-14 | 32 | 8.51 | 8.97 | 8.05 | 8.10 |
| LT Growth Rate (\%) | 7 | 18.57 | 20.00 | 15.00 | 19.31 |

Analysts have recently become more bullish on Visa as it has seen its estimates increase in all periods except for the current quarter's earnings. This shows the faith that analysts have in the operation that Visa conducts. They seem to be confident that Visa is on the verge of surprising the original estimates.

## Estimate Revision Analysis

## Original Analysis

| Number Of Pewlslons: | Up | Devem | Up | Down |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pevente |  |  |  |  |
| Cubarter Encling Mar-13 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 2 |
| Quanter Ereang -un-13 | 1 | 0 | $E$ | 3 |
| Tear Ending Sep-13 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 |
| Teew Ending Step-14 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 |
| Earnings |  |  |  |  |
| Cuarter Eneling Mar-13 | 0 | - | 3 | 2 |
| Quanter Ensing Hun-13 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 2 |
| Hexar Ending Step-13 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 2 |
| Trear Encting Step-14 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 |

## Re-Evaluation Analysis

| Number Of Revisions: | Up | Down | Up |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Revenue | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Quarter Ending Mar-13 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Quarter Ending Jun-13 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Year Ending Sep-13 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

For the two week period observed Visa has not seen a single revision. Also in the last month the company has seen very few changes. There was a single down revision for all of the revenue periods projected but up revisions for all earnings periods being projected. This is a positive sign that even though sales might not be as strong as the company hopes they are still conducting business in a way that they will see positive surprises in terms of earnings. The
revisions have calmed a great deal since it was first recommended showing that it is in a stable position.

## Analysts' Recommendations

## Original Analysis

"Analyst Recommendations and Revisions"
1-5 Linear Scale
(1) BUY
Current

## Re-Evaluation Analysis

| 1-5 Linear Scale | Current | 1 Month <br> Ago | 2 Month <br> Ago | 3 Month <br> Ago |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| (1) BUY | 11 | 11 | 13 | 13 |
| (2) OUTPERFORM | 13 | 13 | 14 | 14 |
| (3) HOLD | 9 | 8 | 6 | 6 |
| (4) UNDERPERFORM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| (5) SELL | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| No Opinion | 0 | 0 | 1.88 | 0 |

The mean rating for Visa has remained unchanged since it was recommended. That being said one analyst from both the buy and outperform vote changed their stance to a hold vote while the analyst who recommended a sell is no longer giving an opinion.

## Company \#4: Cisco Systems (CSCO)

## Company Update

Over the two weeks I examined CSCO's share price grew by slightly more than $3 \%$ to \$21.58. The stock hit a new 52-week high during the time period and has seen impressive volume. According to Thestreetwire.com the company's strengths can be seen in many facets including its impressive record of earnings per share growth, solid growth in net income, good revenue growth, and notable return on equity. The company emerged from the pack in terms of computer networking companies in the 1990's and controls $85 \%$ of the computer networking hardware market. That being said the company is struggling to adapt to the new age where customers demand their suppliers to offer more sophisticated services than just equipment. In order to adapt the company is trying to differentiate itself from other players by becoming what they call "the internet of everything" (newyorktimes.com). Also fairly recent acquisitions are boosting their value and are part of their plans in creating a new internet experience.

## Relative Performance



## Price Charts

3 Month


1 Year


## Valuations Analysis

Original Analysis

| Ratio Analysis | Company | Industry | Sector |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P/E (TTM) | 12 | 38.3 | 15.6 |
| P/S (TTM) | 2.4 | 1.6 | 1.4 |
| P/B (MRQ) | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| P/CF (TTM) | 9.5 | 13.1 | 9.5 |

## Re-evaluation Analysis

| P/E Ratio (TTM) | 12.62 | 24.46 | 23.61 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Price to Sales (TTM) | 2.47 | 1.30 | 3.16 |
| Price to Book (MRQ) | 2.10 | 1.62 | 3.95 |
| Price to Tangible Book (MRQ) | 3.82 | 2.37 | 4.90 |
| Price to Cash Flow (TTM) | 9.68 | 20.09 | 15.26 |

While CSCO's $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ ratio has remained fairly unchanged its industry has become much less expensive by the large margin of $36 \%$. While its competitors significantly increased the amount they earn for investors dollars CSCO still is about half of the industry average in terms of price to equity. Like the P/E all of CSCO's ratios have remained fairly unchanged because of how recently we purchased it.

## Historical Surprises

## Original Analysis

Earnings Surprise Analysis

| Estimates vs Actual | Estimate | Actual | Difference | Surprise <br> $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SALES (in millions) |  |  |  |  |
| Quarter Ending Jan-13 | $12,063.10$ | $12,098.00$ | 34.89 | 0.29 |
| Quarter Ending Oct-12 | $11,773.20$ | $11,876.00$ | 102.85 | 0.87 |
| Quarter Ending Jul-12 | $11,609.00$ | $11,690.00$ | 80.98 | 0.7 |
| Quarter Ending Apr-12 | $11,577.30$ | $11,588.00$ | 10.71 | 0.09 |


| Earnings (per share) | Estimate |  | Actual | Difference |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Quarter Ending Jan-13 | 0.48 | 0.51 | 0.03 | 6.43 |
| Quarter Ending Oct-12 | 0.46 |  |  |  |
| Quarter Ending Jul-12 | 0.46 | 0.02 | 4.35 |  |
| Quarter Ending Apr-12 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.01 | 2.87 |
| Quarter Ending Jan-12 | 0.43 | 0.48 | 0.01 | 2.83 |

## Re-evaluation Analysis

| Quarter Ending Jan-13 | $12,063.10$ | $12,098.00$ | 34.89 | 0.29 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Quarter Ending Oct-12 | $11,773.20$ | $11,876.00$ | 102.85 | 0.87 |
| Quarter Ending Jul-12 | $11,609.00$ | $11,690.00$ | 80.98 | 0.70 |
| Quarter Ending Apr-12 | $11,577.30$ | $11,588.00$ | 10.71 | 0.09 |
| Quarter Ending Jan-12 | $11,232.30$ | $11,527.00$ | 294.66 | 2.62 |
| Earnings (per share) |  |  |  |  |


| Quarter Ending Jan-13 | 0.48 | 0.51 | 0.03 | 6.43 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Quarter Ending Oct-12 | 0.46 | 0.48 | 0.02 | 4.35 |
| Quarter Ending Jul-12 | 0.46 | 0.47 | 0.01 | 2.87 |
| Quarter Ending Apr-12 | 0.47 | 0.48 | 0.01 | 2.83 |
| Quarter Ending Jan-12 | 0.43 | 0.47 | 0.04 | 8.27 |

There have not been any new earnings reports released since the stock was recommended thus there has been no change. That being said it I would like to reemphasize this company's ability to surprise the estimates in terms of revenues and earnings in each of the last 5 quarters. This is a trend that I believe will continue in the future.

## Consensus Estimates

## Original Analysis

| \# of Estimates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mean | High | Low | 1 Year Ago |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SALES (in millions) | 35 | $12,196.70$ | $12,313.10$ | $12,100.00$ | $12,351.30$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quarter Ending Apr-13 | 35 | $12,514.10$ | $12,994.60$ | $12,253.00$ | $12,810.30$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quarter Ending Jul-13 | 37 | $48,689.50$ | $49,137.50$ | $48,352.00$ | $49,136.40$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year Ending Jul-13 | 37 | $51,293.20$ | $53,347.20$ | $47,800.00$ | $52,514.40$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year Ending Jul-14 | \# | Mean | High | Low | 1 Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Estimates |  |  | 0.5 | Ago |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quarter Ending Apr-13 | 37 | 0.49 | 0.51 | 0.48 | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quarter Ending Jul-13 | 37 | 0.51 | 0.55 | 0.49 | 0.53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year Ending Jul-13 | 40 | 1.99 | 2.04 | 1.95 | 1.98 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year Ending Jul-14 | 38 | 2.11 | 2.28 | 2 | 2.15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LT Growth Rate (\%) | 5 | 8.4 | 12 | 5 | 9.43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Re-Evaluation Analysis

## SALES (in millions)

| Quarter Ending Apr-13 | 34 | $12,193.20$ | $12,286.00$ | $12,100.00$ | $12,358.10$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Quarter Ending Jul-13 | 34 | $12,513.90$ | $12,994.60$ | $12,253.00$ | $12,817.60$ |
| Year Ending Jul-13 | 36 | $48,686.30$ | $49,137.50$ | $48,352.00$ | $49,157.30$ |

## Earnings (per share)

| Quarter Ending Apr-13 | 36 | 0.49 | 0.51 | 0.48 | 0.50 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quarter Ending Jul-13 | 36 | 0.51 | 0.55 | 0.49 | 0.53 |
| Year Ending Jul-13 | 39 | 1.99 | 2.04 | 1.95 | 1.98 |
| Year Ending Jul-14 | 37 | 2.11 | 2.28 | 2.00 | 2.15 |
| LT Growth Rate (\%) | 5 | 8.40 | 12.00 | 5.00 | 9.43 |

Since the stock has been recommended the estimate for revenues has dropped in all of the time periods. This is troubling but on the upside the estimates for their earnings has remained unchanged so hopefully they are able to prove the analysts wrong and have solid sales figures.

## Estimate Revision Analysis

## Original Analysis

| Estimates Revisions Summary |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Last Week |  | Last 4 Weeks |  |
| Number Of Revisions: | Up | Down | Up | Down |
| Revenue |  |  |  |  |
| Quarter Ending Apr-13 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 16 |
| Quarter Ending Jul-13 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 19 |
| Year Ending Jul-13 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 17 |
| Year Ending Jul-14 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 14 |
| Earnings |  |  |  |  |
| Quarter Ending Apr-13 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 8 |
| Quarter Ending Jul-13 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 10 |
| Year Ending Jul-13 | 1 | 1 | 35 | 1 |
| Year Ending Jul-14 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 6 |

## Re-Evaluation Analysis

|  | Last Week |  | Last 4 Weeks |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number Of Revisions: | Up | Down | Up | Down |
| Revenue |  |  |  |  |
| Quarter Ending Apr-13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Quarter Ending Jul-13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Year Ending Jul-13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Year Ending Jul-14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Earnings |  |  |  |  |
| Quarter Ending Apr-13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Quarter Ending Jul-13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Year Ending Jul-13 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Year Ending Jul-14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

In the month prior to the stock recommendation the company saw a substantial amount of up and downgrades showing the uncertainty that surrounded the company's performance. That being said during my re-evaluation I discovered that there had been no revisions in the last week and only one up revision for the year ending 2013 in earnings. This shows that since we have owned this security they have stabilized their business which has led to no analyst changes.

## Analysts' Recommendations

## Original Analysis



## Re-Evaluation Analysis

| (1) BUY | 13 | 15 | 14 | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (2) OUTPERFORM | 14 | 13 | 14 | 14 |
| (3) HOLD | 8 | 8 | 10 | 14 |
| (4) UNDERPERFORM | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| (5) SELL | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| No Opinion | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mean Rating | 2.10 | 2.05 | 2.12 | 2.18 |

Since the stock was recommended analysts have taken on a slightly more bearish outlook. One analyst who originally said buy failed to speculate from last time and one analyst changed his stance to outperform which caused the mean rating to rise 0.05 . I do not believe that this is a significant change to cause any panic and things should turn around for CSCO.

## Section (C) Sector Recommendations

Currently the CIF owns four stocks within the technology sector and the sector ETF (XLK). There are 6,690 shares of the ETF, 620 shares of QCOM, 1,420 shares of EMC, 290 shares of V, and 1,940 shares of CSCO. All of the stocks have performed well and are currently all in positive growth figures from when the analysts executed their respective trades. I believe that we should continue to hold all of the stocks because of their ability to maintain strong valuation metrics. They are all strong companies that differ in the business they conduct which brings strong diversity to our portfolio, specifically within the technology sector. I am bullish on the technology sector as its valuation metrics are currently significantly lower than historical figures. Also very few technology companies are currently struggling within the sector and most are growing right in line with the market average which leads me to my decision that we should 1) Stay put with our current holdings and 2) not sell out of our sector. With that being said I think it is very important for our analysts in the technology sector to choose wisely with their
stock choices for round two of investment meetings because there are a ton of great options and values that currently exist in the sector. This would get some weight out of the ETF which is not experiencing as much growth as could be realized.
Section (D) Sector Holding Recommendations

| Company Name | Ticker Symbol | Date Recommended | Date Reevaluated | Recommendation (Explain Why) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Sell | Adjust <br> "Target Price" | Adjust <br> "Stoploss Price" |
| Qualcomm | QCOM | 12/6/12 | 3/20/13 | No | No | No |
| EMC Corporation | EMC | 2/3/13 | 3/20/13 | No | No | No |
| Visa | V | 2/7/13 | 3/20/13 | No | No | No |
| Cisco Systems | CSCO | 2/24/13 | 3/20/13 | No | No | No |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

I recommend that we do not sell any of our stocks nor would I recommend adjusting the current target or stop-loss prices. The securities are all in positive figures for the CIF and show signs of continuing to grow according to plan which makes me uneasy to make changes to our plan. I believe we need to stay the course and wait to see earnings reports before making any changes. As stated earlier hopefully we are able to add more securities to our portfolio as a good amount of these companies are experiencing positive growth.
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